

From: Sandra Nichols Thiam [REDACTED]
To: "Carlson, Ann" [REDACTED], Kristie L Ebi [REDACTED],
Jeremy Fogel [REDACTED],
Michael Gerrard [REDACTED],
Geoffrey Heal [REDACTED], Barry Hill [REDACTED],
Michael Oppenheimer [REDACTED], "Stephen W. Pacala"
[REDACTED],
"Robie, Ron" [REDACTED], Michael Simon [REDACTED]
Cc: Merideth Wright [REDACTED], Paul Hanle [REDACTED], "Jay
Pendergrass" [REDACTED]
Subject: Climate Judiciary Project curriculum development launch
Date: Wed, 17 Jun 2020 13:58:46 +0000
Importance: Normal
Attachments: CJP_Curriculum_Development_Plan.docx; CJP_Curriculum_outline_DRAFT_6.10.20.docx
Inline-Images: image001.png; image002.png

Dear curriculum committee members,

We hope this message finds everyone healthy and hanging in there with all that is going on in our country and around the world. Knowing the demands on your time, we very much appreciate your willingness to support the curriculum development process for the Climate Judiciary Project of the Environmental Law Institute.

By this email, we are writing to invite you to a (virtual) launch meeting provisionally scheduled for July 14 from 2:00pm – 3:30 pm ET (with the 16th at the same time as the likely alternative). Please let us know if this time does not work for you.

In order to make the most effective use of our time together at the meeting, we are asking you to review our rough “straw man” outline, attached here, in advance of the meeting. We have also attached a document that provides further background on the curriculum development and lists the members of the advisory committee.

In the draft outline, we have worked to think through what the course should and shouldn’t cover, and how it might be structured—ideas for your reactions. It is not a polished presentation of the curriculum. We would appreciate your high-level comments on the draft (in the document or in any format that works for you):

- what have we forgotten?
- what have we included that is too detailed for a course for judges?
- does the sequence of topics make sense?
- how could we improve the framing of the concepts?
- how can we best push participant’s thinking and understanding while remaining neutral, and without crossing the lines of material appropriate for programs with judges?

Please provide your comments by July 1, if possible. We will then review and integrate your comments, and will recirculate a revised version of the framework in advance of the call. We hope to be able to share a revised version by July 10 and to hold the call the following week but we will confirm these details soon.

Thank you again so much for your contributions to this project. We look forward to working with you.

Kind regards,

Sandy and Paul
CJP leadership team



Sandra Nichols Thiam
Director, Judicial Education
Associate Vice President for Research and Policy

Environmental Law Institute

1730 M Street NW, Suite 700 | Washington DC | 20036

| www.eli.org

Dr. Paul Hanle
Visiting Scholar, Project Lead
Climate Judiciary Project

Environmental Law Institute

1730 M Street NW, Suite 700 | Washington DC | 20036